

# High Rate of Return to Swimming After Hip Arthroscopy for Femoroacetabular Impingement



Rachel M. Frank, M.D., Gift Ukwuani, M.D., Jorge Chahla, M.D., Brian Batko, B.A., Charles A. Bush-Joseph, M.D., and Shane J. Nho, M.D., M.S.

**Purpose:** To evaluate patients' ability to return to swimming after hip arthroscopy for femoroacetabular impingement syndrome (FAIS) with capsular closure. **Methods:** Consecutive FAIS patients who had undergone hip arthroscopy for the treatment of FAIS by a single fellowship-trained surgeon were reviewed. The inclusion criteria included patients with a diagnosis of FAIS who self-reported being swimming athletes with a minimum clinical follow-up duration of 2 years. For all patients, we assessed demographic data; preoperative physical examination findings, imaging findings, and patient-reported outcome (PRO) scores including the modified Harris Hip Score, Hip Outcome Score—Activities of Daily Living subscale, Hip Outcome Score—Sports-Specific subscale, and visual analog scale for pain; and postoperative examination findings and PROs at a minimum of 2 years after surgery, including a swimming-specific questionnaire. **Results:** The study included 26 patients (62% female patients; average age,  $31.3 \pm 7.2$  years; average body mass index,  $24.2 \pm 2.7$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>). Preoperatively, 24 patients (92%) were unable to swim at their preinjury level, and swimming was either decreased or discontinued entirely at an average of  $6.0 \pm 4.0$  months before surgery. All 26 patients (100%) returned to swimming at an average of  $3.4 \pm 1.7$  months after surgery, including 14 (54%) who returned at a higher level of performance than their preoperative state, 10 (38%) who returned to the same level, and 2 (7%) who returned at a lower level. The ability to return at a higher level of performance was not associated with age ( $P = .81$ ), sex ( $P = .62$ ), or body mass index ( $P = .16$ ). At an average of  $31.2 \pm 4.95$  months' follow-up, postoperative PRO scores improved significantly from preoperative values (Hip Outcome Score—Activities of Daily Living subscale from  $68.5 \pm 19.9$  to  $93.9 \pm 5.7$ ,  $P < .0001$ ; Hip Outcome Score—Sports-Specific subscale from  $44.0 \pm 21.0$  to  $85.2 \pm 16$ ,  $P < .0001$ ; and modified Harris Hip Score from  $59.5 \pm 12.1$  to  $94 \pm 8.6$ ,  $P < .0001$ ). The average patient satisfaction level was  $93\% \pm 9\%$ . **Conclusions:** Recreational and amateur swimmers return to swimming 100% of the time after hip arthroscopy for FAIS, with just over half returning at a higher level, and most of these patients return within 4 months after surgery. This information is critical in counseling patients on their expectations with respect to returning to swimming after hip arthroscopy for FAIS. **Level of Evidence:** Level IV, case series.

See commentary on page 1478

Femoroacetabular impingement syndrome (FAIS) is becoming increasingly recognized and diagnosed in the young, athletic patient population, particularly in

athletes who perform activities that require repetitive hip flexion and rotational load. Although in some cases, hip impingement can be successfully managed with physical therapy, in higher-demand patient populations, including athletes who participate in activities that require repetitive rotation and/or loading to the hip, hip arthroscopy is required. In general, hip arthroscopic surgery for FAIS is a reliable operation with respect to reducing pain and improving function, resulting in good to excellent outcomes with low complication rates.<sup>1-7</sup> Given the increasing volume of hip arthroscopy procedures being performed in athletes, data describing the ability of athletes to return to sport (RTS) after hip arthroscopy have become more readily available.<sup>1,5,6,8-33</sup> This information is critical, particularly for counseling patients, parents, and coaches on the expected outcomes after hip arthroscopy.

From CU Sports Medicine, Department of Orthopedics, University of Colorado School of Medicine (R.M.F.), Boulder, Colorado; Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Rush University Medical Center (G.U., B.B., C.A.B.-J., S.J.N.), Chicago, Illinois; and Steadman Philippon Research Institute (J.C.), Vail, Colorado, U.S.A.

The authors report that they have no conflicts of interest in the authorship and publication of this article. Full ICMJE author disclosure forms are available for this article online, as [supplementary material](#).

Received June 15, 2017; accepted November 21, 2017.

Address correspondence to Rachel M. Frank, M.D., CU Sports Medicine, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Colorado School of Medicine, 2150 Stadium Dr, Boulder, CO 80309, U.S.A. E-mail: [rmfrank3@gmail.com](mailto:rmfrank3@gmail.com)

© 2017 by the Arthroscopy Association of North America

0749-8063/17762/\$36.00

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2017.11.030>

Swimming is an activity that involves repetitive flexion and rotational motions about the hip, particularly with the breaststroke and butterfly stroke. Repetitive flexion movements are performed during freestyle, the backstroke, and the butterfly stroke, whereas repetitive rotation movements are required during the breaststroke. In 2004 Grote et al.<sup>34</sup> assessed a survey of 296 amateur swimmers and determined that breaststroke swimmers were significantly more likely to complain of groin pain when compared with individual medley swimmers and, furthermore, were significantly more likely to have required time off of training because of groin-related injuries. Given the rising popularity of swimming as a recreational and amateur activity, both as a stand-alone sport and through its role in triathlons, a better understanding of expected outcomes after hip arthroscopy in this patient population is warranted. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to evaluate patients' ability to return to swimming after hip arthroscopy for FAIS with capsular closure. We hypothesized that there would be a high rate of return to swimming after hip arthroscopy with capsular closure, with most patients returning to the same level or a higher level of activity.

## Methods

Our university's institutional review board approved this study. Parental or guardian consent, as well as minor assent, was obtained for all patients younger than 18 years. A query of an institutional surgical registry showed patients who reported swimming on intake forms and had undergone hip arthroscopy for FAIS by a single fellowship-trained surgeon (S.J.N.) between February 2012 and June 2014. FAIS incorporates a spectrum of diagnoses related to an abnormal contact area between the femoral head-neck junction and acetabulum. The indications for hip arthroscopy were based on clinical history, physical examination findings, and radiographic findings of FAIS (alpha angle  $>50^\circ$ , lateral center-edge angle [LCEA]  $>25^\circ$ ). The inclusion criteria included patients with a diagnosis of FAIS who self-reported being swimming athletes with a minimum clinical follow-up duration of 2 years. The exclusion criteria included patients with a history of rheumatologic disease, a Tönnis grade greater than 1, hip dysplasia (LCEA  $<20^\circ$ ), a history of congenital hip dislocation, Perthes disease, slipped capital femoral epiphysis, neurologic disorders, and/or concomitant orthopaedic conditions (ipsilateral limb injuries, scoliosis, or sacroiliac joint dysfunction).

## Operative Technique

All arthroscopic procedures were performed with the patient under general anesthesia in the supine position on a standard traction table as previously described by Frank et al.<sup>3</sup> After diagnostic arthroscopy, rim trimming, and

labral repair, traction was released and femoral osteochondroplasty was performed through a T-capsulotomy. The traction time ranged from 30 to 45 minutes on average, and the traction magnitude was approximately 10 mm after capsulotomy. All patients underwent complete capsular closure, with some patients undergoing additional capsular plication once all peripheral-compartment work was completed. The preferred techniques of the senior author (S.J.N.) for the central and peripheral compartments, as well as for capsular closure and plication, have been previously described in detail.<sup>3</sup>

## Rehabilitation

All patients underwent a 4-phase rehabilitation protocol lasting an average of 32 weeks (Table 1). Initially, the surgical leg was restricted to 20-lb foot-flat weight bearing. At week 3, patients were weaned off crutches if they were able to tolerate ambulation without significant pain or compensatory gait movements. By 6 weeks, patients were permitted to use an elliptical machine. By 12 weeks, running on an antigravity treadmill was allowed, with progression to sport-specific activities at week 16.

Patients progressed with their rehabilitation to be able to return to swimming when they (1) were determined to be pain free in the hip complex and (2) had regained full range of motion and muscular strength. The initial phase in returning to swimming focused on stroke technique drills, with progression in yardage advancement. Precautions were taken to avoid technique maladaptation and make sure appropriate stroke drills were followed. This phase was not focused on addressing an individual swimmer's specialty (i.e., sprint or distance) but rather on conditioning and improving endurance. The next phase concentrated on returning to swimming specialty with interval training (interval work, kicks, and drills).

## Clinical Outcomes

Clinical outcomes were assessed at baseline and at a minimum of 2 years after surgery, including physical examination with range-of-motion assessment and patient-reported outcomes (PROs) including the modified Harris Hip Score, Hip Outcome Score—Activities of Daily Living subscale, Hip Outcome Score—Sports-Specific subscale, and visual analog scale for pain. Data on overall pain and patient satisfaction were recorded. Complications and reoperations were analyzed for all patients. Failure was defined by persistent pain, revision arthroscopy, or conversion to hip arthroplasty during the study period. A customized return-to-swimming questionnaire was sent to patients to complete by e-mail (Appendix Fig 1, available at [www.arthroscopyjournal.org](http://www.arthroscopyjournal.org)). Swimmers were classified as either recreational or amateur, with amateur athletes defined as high school, intercollegiate, or amateur swimmers.

**Table 1.** Rehabilitation Regimen for Returning to Activity and/or Sports After Hip Arthroscopy

Phase	Goal	Restrictions	Techniques and Treatment Strategies
1	Protect joint and repaired tissues	20 lb at 3 wk 50% WB for 1 wk followed by WBAT Limit flexion, abduction, and extension at 3 wk No active sitting >30 min at 3 wk	Soft-tissue mobilization Isometrics Deep-water aqua-jogging with flotation belt Swimming with pull buoy (cardio)
2	Appropriate gait progression	Avoid hip flexor and adductor irritation Aim to avoid compensatory or Trendelenburg gait	Aquatic therapy Joint mobilization Deep-water aqua-jogging with flotation belt Swimming with pull buoy Squats and lunges in waist-deep water at week 6 Muscular and cardiovascular conditioning
3	Return to preinjury function	Avoid agility drills until week 10 Avoid hip rotational activities until week 10	Single-leg squat Soft-tissue and joint mobilization for prolonged stiffness Double-leg strengthening Swimming without pull buoy Breaststroke
4	Return to swimming	Ensure adequate functional strength and proximal control before advancing	Multiplanar agility training Stroke technique drills: recovery, pull through Gradual advancement in yardage Interval work, kicks, and drills

WB, weight bearing; WBAT, weight bearing as tolerated.

## Imaging Outcomes

Anteroposterior (AP) and Dunn lateral radiographs were obtained for all patients both preoperatively and postoperatively. Preoperative and postoperative alpha angles were measured on Dunn lateral radiographs, whereas the LCEA of Wiberg was measured on AP radiographs. Additional characterization of hip arthritis was performed by measuring hip joint space width in the superolateral, apical, and superomedial positions. Magnetic resonance imaging of all patients was also performed to assess for labral pathology, articular cartilage pathology, and other intra-articular hip pathology, as well as peri-hip extra-articular pathology, if present.

## Statistical Analysis

Patient data were analyzed with SPSS statistical software (IBM, Armonk, NY). Patient demographic data were presented as means and standard deviations or percentages. Continuous variables were compared using bivariate regression, whereas categorical data were compared using the Pearson  $\chi^2$  test. One-way analysis of variance was used to compare continuous variables against categorical variables. Preoperative and postoperative scores were compared by use of *t* tests. Return-to-swimming variables were reported as continuous data for miles spent swimming weekly before and after surgery, length of time patients discontinued or decreased swimming preoperatively, and time to return to swimming postoperatively. An  $\alpha$  value of  $P < .05$  denoted statistical significance.

## Results

### Demographic Characteristics

The query of the surgical repository contained 30 patients (32 hips) who indicated participation in swimming either recreationally or at the amateur level before hip arthroscopy. We excluded 3 patients because of concomitant orthopaedic pathologies including contralateral lower-extremity surgery ( $n = 1$ ) and spine pathology ( $n = 2$ ). The remaining 27 patients (29 hips) met the inclusion criteria, and 26 of these patients (28 hips) completed the return-to-swimming survey and PROs at a minimum of 2 years after surgery, as well as returned to the clinic for a follow-up physical examination, for an overall follow-up of 96%.

Study participants (26 patients) included 16 female patients (62%) and 10 male patients (38%) with an average age of  $31.3 \pm 7.2$  years (range, 12-42 years) and an average body mass index (BMI) of  $24.2 \pm 2.7$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> (Table 2). Bilateral hip arthroscopy was performed in 2 patients (7%)—their PROs are reflective of their most recent surgical procedure, with an average of 4.5 months between operations in these 2 bilaterally treated patients. A labral tear was recorded in all 26 patients (100%). The chronicity of symptoms was reported by 20 participants (77%), and 6 (23%) had acute symptoms before surgery. Patients completed an average of  $0.4 \pm 0.8$  miles of swimming per week before surgery (range, 0.2-1.02 miles). Of the 26 patients, 20 (77%) were predominantly freestyle swimmers, 5 (19%) were butterfly stroke swimmers, and 4 (15%) were

**Table 2.** Demographic Characteristics

	Data
Sex, n	16 female and 10 male patients
Age, mean $\pm$ SD, yr	31.3 $\pm$ 7.2
BMI, mean $\pm$ SD, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	24.2 $\pm$ 2.7
Surgical side, n	15 left and 13 right
Bilateral surgery, n	2 (6%)
Competition level, n	
Amateur	6 (23%)
Recreational	20 (77%)
Swimming type, n	
Freestyle	20 (77%)
Butterfly stroke	5 (19%)
Breaststroke	4 (15%)

BMI, body mass index; SD, standard deviation.

breaststroke swimmers. No swimmers reported the backstroke as their stroke of choice. When divided by competition level, there were 6 amateur swimmers (23%) and 20 recreational swimmers (77%). Of the patients, 24 (92%) had to decrease or discontinue swimming entirely at an average of  $6.0 \pm 4.0$  months before surgery because of hip-related pain.

### Intraoperative Data

Hip arthroscopic procedures performed (Table 3) consisted of acetabular labral repair and femoral osteochondroplasty, acetabular rim trimming, and capsular closure. No surgical complications were recorded.

### Clinical Outcomes

All patients showed significant improvements in all patient-reported outcome scores ( $P < .05$  for all) and in pain scores after surgery at an average of  $31.2 \pm 4.95$  months (range, 24-48 months) after surgery (Table 4). The average patient satisfaction level with surgery was  $93\% \pm 8.5\%$ . Within the follow-up period, none of the patients required revision hip arthroscopy or conversion to hip arthroplasty. After surgery, there were no significant differences in hip range of motion compared with preoperative values, including forward flexion ( $P = .9$ ), internal rotation ( $P > .99$ ), and external rotation ( $P = .6$ ).

**Table 3.** Intraoperative Findings and Procedures Performed

Intraoperative Findings	n (Hips)
Cam deformity	26 (100%)
Pincer deformity	23 (88%)
Mixed FAIS	23 (88%)
Labral tear	26 (100%)
Cartilage delamination	9 (35%)
Surgical procedures	
Labral repair	26 (100%)
Acetabular rim trimming	26 (100%)
Femoral osteochondroplasty	26 (100%)
Capsular closure	26 (100%)

FAIS, femoroacetabular impingement syndrome.

**Table 4.** Clinical Outcomes

	Preoperative	Postoperative	P Value
HOS-ADL	68.5 $\pm$ 19.9	93.9 $\pm$ 5.7	<.0001
HOS-SS	44 $\pm$ 21	85.2 $\pm$ 16	<.0001
mHHS score	59.5 $\pm$ 12.1	94.1 $\pm$ 8.6	<.0001
VAS score for pain	7.7 $\pm$ 1.1	0.7 $\pm$ 1.2	<.0001
VAS score for satisfaction		90.7 $\pm$ 12	
Forward flexion, °	118.5 $\pm$ 24.3	118.1 $\pm$ 10.0	.9
Internal rotation, °	16.0 $\pm$ 15.4	21.3 $\pm$ 5.0	.16
External rotation, °	43.3 $\pm$ 6.8	45.8 $\pm$ 8.3	.6

NOTE. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation.

HOS-ADL, Hip Outcome Score—Activities of Daily Living; HOS-SS, Hip Outcome Score—Sports-Specific subscale; mHHS, modified Harris Hip Score; VAS, visual analog scale.

### Return-to-Swimming Results

All 26 patients (100%) returned to swimming at an average of  $3.4 \pm 1.7$  months after hip arthroscopy for FAIS (Table 5). Of the patients, 14 (54%) returned to swimming at a subjectively higher level of performance compared with their preinjury level whereas 10 (38%) returned to the same level before injury and 2 (7%) returned at a lower level. Thirteen patients who returned to a higher level of performance were recreational swimmers, and one was an amateur swimmer. On linear regression analysis, the ability to return at a higher level of performance was not associated with age ( $P = .81$ ), sex ( $P = .62$ ), or BMI ( $P = .16$ ). There was no significant difference in preoperative and postoperative miles per week ( $0.4 \pm 0.79$  miles preoperatively vs  $0.36 \pm 0.74$  miles postoperatively,  $P = .86$ ). There was no association between when preoperative swimming was stopped because of hip symptoms and when patients were able to return to swimming ( $r^2 = 0.08$ ,  $P = .24$ ).

### Imaging Outcomes

Alpha angles were measured on standard AP and Dunn lateral radiographs (Table 5). All 26 patients (100%) had evidence of cam deformity as defined by an alpha angle greater than  $50^\circ$ . AP pelvis radiographs showed that 23 patients (88%) had pincer-type

**Table 5.** Summary of Return-to-Swimming Outcomes

	Data
Patients able to return to swimming, n	26 (100%)
Preoperative miles per week	0.4 $\pm$ 0.79
Postoperative miles per week	0.36 $\pm$ 0.74
Length of time swimming was discontinued before surgery, mo	6.0 $\pm$ 4.0
Length of time to return to swimming with minimal pain, mo	3.4 $\pm$ 1.7

NOTE. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation unless otherwise indicated. The reasons for discontinued swimming were ranked on a scale from 1 to 10 according to pain, fear of reinjury, loss of interest, other physical limitation (injury, concomitant comorbidities, or decreased conditioning), and availability of resources.

**Table 6.** Radiographic Outcomes

Outcome	Preoperative	Postoperative	<i>P</i> Value
Alpha angle, °	59.91 ± 11.08	38.91 ± 4.37	<.0001
LCEA, °	29.91 ± 4.81	25.10 ± 4.63	.0002
Superolateral JSW, mm	4.47 ± 0.90	4.54 ± 0.86	.18
Apical JSW, mm	3.83 ± 0.69	4.00 ± 0.3	.8
Superomedial JSW, mm	4.47 ± 0.90	4.7 ± 0.84	.6
Average JSW, mm	4.30 ± 1.54	4.49 ± 0.94	.3

NOTE. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. JSW, joint space width; LCEA, lateral center-edge angle.

deformity of the femur, which was defined by an LCEA greater than 40° or the presence of the crossover sign. No patient showed joint space width measures of less than 2.5 mm on any radiographic measurement. Postoperative assessment of radiographs obtained after surgery showed significant reduction in both alpha angle and LCEA when compared with preoperative values (Table 6).

## Discussion

The principal findings of this study show that (1) there is a high rate of return to recreational and amateur swimming after hip arthroscopy for FAIS at an average of 3.4 months after surgery, (2) there is no association between when preoperative swimming is stopped because of hip symptoms and when patients are able to return to swimming, and (3) most patients are able to return to the same level or a higher level of swimming after surgery. Taken together, the data provide information on expected outcomes and RTS rates in patients undergoing hip arthroscopy who specifically participate in swimming, an activity that places high demands on the core and hips. This information will be helpful for counseling patients on appropriate expectations with respect to returning to swimming after hip arthroscopy for FAIS.

As noted by a variety of authors, RTS rates in athletes after hip arthroscopy for FAIS at short- and medium-term follow-up are exceedingly high. In general, RTS rates have been reported to be greater than 85% to 95% in the vast majority of available studies, across a wide variety of sports and across a wide variety of athletic abilities, including recreational, amateur, and professional.<sup>12,19,20,24,33</sup> Specifically, the reported RTS rate is 82% to 100% in professional hockey players,<sup>21,29</sup> 87% in professional football players,<sup>22</sup> 96% in Australian football players,<sup>31</sup> 100% in professional soccer players,<sup>8</sup> 100% in professional golfers,<sup>25</sup> 88% in competitive baseball players,<sup>13</sup> and 94% in runners.<sup>18</sup> Notably, rowers have a substantially lower RTS rate after hip arthroscopy for femoroacetabular impingement, with Boykin et al.<sup>10</sup> reporting an RTS rate of 56% at an average of 8 months after surgery. It is important to note that professional athletes may have other motivating factors influencing their RTS rates,

and thus the data described in studies on professional and elite and/or sponsored athletes may not be translatable to the typical weekend warrior with FAIS.

In our study the return-to-swimming rate was 100%, with 92% of patients returning to the same level (38%) or a higher level (54%) of participation at an average of 3.4 months after surgery. Given the overall low occurrence of an inability to RTS (0%), no statistical association between preoperative cessation of swimming and rate of return to swimming was able to be determined. Although these data are encouraging, it is important to note that there was no clinically meaningful or statistically significant difference between the patients' preoperative and postoperative number of miles swam per week. It is likely that a combination of decreased pain with improved overall function as evidenced by improvements in all PROs allowed the patients to participate more fully in swimming after surgery when compared with their preoperative swimming sessions, resulting in over half of the participants self-reporting a return at a higher level of participation after surgery. Notably, patient age, sex, and BMI were not independently associated with PROs or RTS rates.

Athletes participating in swimming are at particular risk of pain attributable to hip impingement because of the repetitive and extreme range of motion required by many of the strokes, particularly freestyle (repetitive flexion), the breaststroke (rotational loading),<sup>35,36</sup> and the butterfly stroke (repetitive flexion).<sup>37</sup> Unfortunately, in the literature, no other studies describing the prevalence of FAIS in swimming athletes—or the outcomes of hip arthroscopy for FAIS in swimmers—are available for comparison with our study. In 2017 Girard et al.<sup>38</sup> assessed the RTS rate in long-distance triathletes after hip resurfacing. In their study of 48 patients, they reported an overall RTS rate of 94%, including rates of return of 79% for swimming, 85% for cycling, and 69% for running. It is important to note that the rate of return to competition-level triathlons was only 58%, likely attributable to the substantial physical demands of long-distance triathlons. Certainly, hip resurfacing is a more invasive procedure relative to hip arthroscopy, and it is difficult to compare the return-to-swimming rate of 79% reported by Girard et al. with the RTS rate of 100% in our study. In a study specifically looking at runners, Levy et al.<sup>18</sup> reported a 94% return-to-running rate at a mean of 8.5 months after surgery. Compared with our study, with a similarly high RTS rate, the demands placed on the hip for running likely require a prolonged period of recovery and rehabilitation in runners before fully returning to sport.

## Limitations

This study has several limitations, including its retrospective nature, small sample size (particularly

with respect to competitive-level swimmers), relatively short-term follow-up, and use of survey data (potential for recall bias) as the primary outcome of interest. Furthermore, there was no control group of patients participating in swimming undergoing a similar rehabilitation protocol (but without surgery), which would strengthen the study. In addition, the PROs used in this study, although commonly used in the hip-preservation literature, have not been specifically validated for this patient population.<sup>39-42</sup> Another limitation is unknown mechanism of injury in terms of whether swimming or another activity was the offending mechanism causing the pathology in patients who participated in activities in addition to swimming. Finally, although one of the study's strengths is the consistency of the surgical procedures performed by a single, high-volume, fellowship-trained surgeon, the overall outcomes may not be generalizable.

### Conclusions

Recreational and amateur swimmers return to swimming 100% of the time after hip arthroscopy for FAIS, with just over half returning at a higher level, and most of these patients return within 4 months after surgery. This information is critical in counseling patients on their expectations with respect to returning to swimming after hip arthroscopy for FAIS.

### References

1. Byrd JW, Jones KS. Arthroscopic management of femoroacetabular impingement in athletes. *Am J Sports Med* 2011;39:7S-13S (suppl).
2. Cvetanovich GL, Chalmers PN, Levy DM, et al. Hip arthroscopy surgical volume trends and 30-day post-operative complications. *Arthroscopy* 2016;32:1286-1292.
3. Frank RM, Lee S, Bush-Joseph CA, Kelly BT, Salata MJ, Nho SJ. Improved outcomes after hip arthroscopic surgery in patients undergoing T-capsulotomy with complete repair versus partial repair for femoroacetabular impingement: A comparative matched-pair analysis. *Am J Sports Med* 2014;42:2634-2642.
4. Frank RM, Lee S, Bush-Joseph CA, Salata MJ, Mather RC III, Nho SJ. Outcomes for hip arthroscopy according to sex and age: A comparative matched-group analysis. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2016;98:797-804.
5. Philippon MJ, Schenker ML. Arthroscopy for the treatment of femoroacetabular impingement in the athlete. *Clin Sports Med* 2006;25:299-308. ix.
6. Polesello GC, Keiske Ono N, Bellan DG, et al. Hip arthroscopy in athletes. *Rev Bras Ortop* 2009;44:26-31.
7. Polesello GC, Lima FR, Guimaraes RP, Ricioli W, Queiroz MC. Arthroscopic treatment of femoroacetabular impingement: Minimum five-year follow-up. *Hip Int* 2014;24:381-386.
8. Barastegui D, Seijas R, Alvarez-Diaz P, et al. Assessing long-term return to play after hip arthroscopy in football players evaluating risk factors for good prognosis [published online May 17, 2017]. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. doi:10.1007/s00167-017-4573-z.
9. Bizzini M, Notzli HP, Maffiuletti NA. Femoroacetabular impingement in professional ice hockey players: A case series of 5 athletes after open surgical decompression of the hip. *Am J Sports Med* 2007;35:1955-1959.
10. Boykin RE, McFeely ED, Ackerman KE, Yen YM, Nasreddine A, Kocher MS. Labral injuries of the hip in rowers. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2013;471:2517-2522.
11. Byrd JW, Jones KS. Hip arthroscopy in high-level baseball players. *Arthroscopy* 2015;31:1507-1510.
12. Casartelli NC, Leunig M, Maffiuletti NA, Bizzini M. Return to sport after hip surgery for femoroacetabular impingement: A systematic review. *Br J Sports Med* 2015;49:819-824.
13. Degen RM, Fields KG, Wentzel CS, et al. Return-to-play rates following arthroscopic treatment of femoroacetabular impingement in competitive baseball players. *Phys Sportsmed* 2016;44:385-390.
14. Domb BG, Dunne KF, Martin TJ, et al. Patient reported outcomes for patients who returned to sport compared with those who did not after hip arthroscopy: Minimum 2-year follow-up. *J Hip Preserv Surg* 2016;3:124-131.
15. Domb BG, Stake CE, Finch NA, Cramer TL. Return to sport after hip arthroscopy: Aggregate recommendations from high-volume hip arthroscopy centers. *Orthopedics* 2014;37:e902-e905.
16. Klingenstein GG, Martin R, Kivlan B, Kelly BT. Hip injuries in the overhead athlete. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2012;470:1579-1585.
17. Lee S, Kuhn A, Draovitch P, Bedi A. Return to play following hip arthroscopy. *Clin Sports Med* 2016;35:637-654.
18. Levy DM, Kuhns BD, Frank RM, et al. High rate of return to running for athletes after hip arthroscopy for the treatment of femoroacetabular impingement and capsular plication. *Am J Sports Med* 2017;45:127-134.
19. Malviya A, Paliobeis CP, Villar RN. Do professional athletes perform better than recreational athletes after arthroscopy for femoroacetabular impingement? *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2013;471:2477-2483.
20. McDonald JE, Herzog MM, Philippon MJ. Return to play after hip arthroscopy with microfracture in elite athletes. *Arthroscopy* 2013;29:330-335.
21. McDonald JE, Herzog MM, Philippon MJ. Performance outcomes in professional hockey players following arthroscopic treatment of FAI and microfracture of the hip. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2014;22:915-919.
22. Menge TJ, Bhatia S, McNamara SC, Briggs KK, Philippon MJ. Femoroacetabular impingement in professional football players: Return to play and predictors of career length after hip arthroscopy. *Am J Sports Med* 2017;45:1740-1744.
23. Menge TJ, Briggs KK, Philippon MJ. Predictors of length of career after hip arthroscopy for femoroacetabular impingement in professional hockey players. *Am J Sports Med* 2016;44:2286-2291.
24. Mohan R, Johnson NR, Hevesi M, Gibbs CM, Levy BA, Krych AJ. Return to sport and clinical outcomes after hip arthroscopic labral repair in young amateur athletes:

- Minimum 2-year follow-up. *Arthroscopy* 2017;33:1679-1684.
25. Newman JT, Saroki AJ, Briggs KK, Philippon MJ. Return to elite level of play and performance in professional golfers after arthroscopic hip surgery. *Orthop J Sports Med* 2016;4:2325967116643532.
  26. Nho SJ, Magennis EM, Singh CK, Kelly BT. Outcomes after the arthroscopic treatment of femoroacetabular impingement in a mixed group of high-level athletes. *Am J Sports Med* 2011;39:14S-19S (suppl).
  27. Perets I, Hartigan DE, Chaharbakhshi EO, Ashberg L, Ortiz-Declet V, Domb BG. Outcomes of hip arthroscopy in competitive athletes. *Arthroscopy* 2017;33:1521-1529.
  28. Philippon M, Schenker M, Briggs K, Kuppersmith D. Femoroacetabular impingement in 45 professional athletes: Associated pathologies and return to sport following arthroscopic decompression. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2007;15:908-914.
  29. Philippon MJ, Weiss DR, Kuppersmith DA, Briggs KK, Hay CJ. Arthroscopic labral repair and treatment of femoroacetabular impingement in professional hockey players. *Am J Sports Med* 2010;38:99-104.
  30. Sansone M, Ahlden M, Jonasson P, et al. Good results after hip arthroscopy for femoroacetabular impingement in top-level athletes. *Orthop J Sports Med* 2015;3:2325967115569691.
  31. Singh PJ, O'Donnell JM. The outcome of hip arthroscopy in Australian football league players: A review of 27 hips. *Arthroscopy* 2010;26:743-749.
  32. Tjong VK, Cogan CJ, Riederman BD, Terry MA. A qualitative assessment of return to sport after hip arthroscopy for femoroacetabular impingement. *Orthop J Sports Med* 2016;4:2325967116671940.
  33. Weber AE, Kuhns BD, Cvetanovich GL, Grzybowski JS, Salata MJ, Nho SJ. Amateur and recreational athletes return to sport at a high rate following hip arthroscopy for femoroacetabular impingement. *Arthroscopy* 2017;33:748-755.
  34. Grote K, Lincoln TL, Gamble JG. Hip adductor injury in amateur swimmers. *Am J Sports Med* 2004;32:104-108.
  35. Keskinen K, Eriksson E, Komi P. Breaststroke swimmer's knee. A biomechanical and arthroscopic study. *Am J Sports Med* 1980;8:228-231.
  36. Strzala M, Krezalek P, Kaca M, et al. Swimming speed of the breaststroke kick. *J Hum Kinet* 2012;35:133-139.
  37. Averianova A, Nikodelis T, Konstantakos V, Kollias I. Rotational kinematics of pelvis and upper trunk at butterfly stroke: Can fins affect the dynamics of the system? *J Biomech* 2016;49:423-428.
  38. Girard J, Lons A, Pommepuy T, Isida R, Benad K, Putman S. High-impact sport after hip resurfacing: The Ironman triathlon. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res* 2017;103:675-678.
  39. Kemp JL, Collins NJ, Roos EM, Crossley KM. Psychometric properties of patient-reported outcome measures for hip arthroscopic surgery. *Am J Sports Med* 2013;41:2065-2073.
  40. Levy DM, Kuhns BD, Chahal J, Philippon MJ, Kelly BT, Nho SJ. Hip arthroscopy outcomes with respect to patient acceptable symptomatic state and minimal clinically important difference. *Arthroscopy* 2016;32:1877-1886.
  41. Ramisetty N, Kwon Y, Mohtadi N. Patient-reported outcome measures for hip preservation surgery—A systematic review of the literature. *J Hip Preserv Surg* 2015;2:15-27.
  42. Stone AV, Jacobs CA, Luo TD, et al. High degree of variability in reporting of clinical and patient-reported outcomes after hip arthroscopy. *Am J Sports Med* 2017:363546517724743.