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Background: Outcomes after sports-related multiple-ligament knee reconstructions are limited.

Purpose: To evaluate outcomes after single-stage surgical treatment of sports-related multiple-ligament knee injuries and to compare outcomes after anterior cruciate ligament (ACL)-based and posterior cruciate ligament (PCL)-based multiple-ligament knee reconstructions.

Study Design: Case series; Level of evidence, 4.

Methods: Skeletally mature patients with at least 2 major knee ligaments torn during a sporting activity that required surgery with a minimum of 2 years’ follow-up were included. The Lysholm score, Tegner activity scale, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC), and 12-Item Short Form Health Survey Physical Component Summary were collected preoperatively and at a minimum of 2 years’ follow-up. Clinical data, including range of motion and knee stability, were also recorded at final follow-up.

Results: A total of 276 patients with multiple-ligament knee injuries incurred during sport participation from 2010 to 2016 were identified. Of the 276 patients, 194 (70.5%) had complete follow-up at a mean 3.5 years (range, 2-8 years). There was a significant improvement in all outcome scores as compared with the preoperative scores (P < .001 for all scores). The median (first and third quartiles) Tegner activity score improved from 1 (0, 2) preoperatively to 6 (4, 7) postoperatively. Significant improvements were from 41 (22, 57) to 90 (78, 95) and 44 (24, 60) to 3 (1, 8) for median Lysholm and WOMAC scores, respectively. There was no significant difference in postoperative outcome scores between patients treated in the acute and chronic phases. Furthermore, there was no significant difference between PCL- and ACL-based multiple-ligament knee injuries. Eighteen (9.3%) patients developed arthrofibrosis requiring reintervention surgery.

Conclusion: These results demonstrated that single-stage anatomic-based knee ligament reconstructions with immediate postoperative rehabilitation in the setting of sports-related multiligament injuries yielded significantly improved outcomes irrespective of the ligament injury pattern. In addition, there was no difference in outcomes between ACL- and PCL-based injuries in the setting of sports-related multiligament injuries.
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Multiligament knee injuries are potentially devastating injuries that commonly affect a young and active population. The reported outcomes of multiligament knee injuries consist of small cohort studies with heterogeneous patient populations. Furthermore, most multiligament injury studies include patients with knee dislocations from both low- and high-energy injury mechanisms. Knee dislocations are commonly defined as bicruciate knee ligament injuries with or without injuries to the collateral ligaments. However, the energy involved, the magnitude of soft tissue injury, and the risk of concomitant neurovascular injuries are highly variable according to the pattern of ligament injury. Therefore, it is important to distinguish between multiligament knee injuries that occur with and without concurrent knee dislocation. Outcomes on lower-velocity sports-related multiple-ligament injuries, not caused by knee dislocations, are still lacking.

A systematic and comprehensive evaluation of all torn knee structures and the soft tissue status is fundamental for surgical planning and a subsequent successful outcome. In this regard, the timing of surgery, treatment method
(operative vs nonoperative, repair vs reconstruction), avail-
ability of grafts, and postoperative rehabilitation program
are some of the factors that have to be considered in the deci-
sion making. The current body of literature demonstrates
inconsistent functional results and return to sports and
work in 53% and 88% of patients, respectively. This could
be attributed to multiple factors, such as (1) incorrect/
complete diagnosis and staged procedures, which can over-
load the reconstruction grafts and jeopardize the index sur-
gery; (2) nonanatomic techniques that do not reproduce the
native biomechanics; and (3) the improper or incorrect timing
of postoperative rehabilitation protocols.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate outcomes after
single-stage surgical treatment of sports-related multiliga-
ment injuries treated with biomechanically validated
anatomic-based reconstructions and an immediate postoper-
ative rehabilitation program emphasizing knee motion on
postoperative day 1. Additionally, we compared outcomes
after anterior cruciate ligament (ACL)–based and posterior
cruciate ligament (PCL)–based multiple-ligament knee
reconstructions. The hypotheses were that single-stage ana-
tomic reconstructions would lead to improved postoperative
outcomes with low complication rates and that ACL- and
PCL-based multiple-ligament knee injuries would have com-
parable outcomes after anatomic-based reconstructions and
early postoperative rehabilitation.

METHODS

Patient Population

This study was approved by the Vail Health institutional
review board (#2018-22). Patients surgically treated for mul-
tiligament knee injuries from May 2010 to September 2016
by a single surgeon (R.F.L.) were identified. Patients were
required to have at least 2 of the 4 major knee ligaments
(ACL, PCL, superficial medial collateral ligament [MCL],
or fibular (lateral) collateral ligament [FCL]) or the popliteus
torn and requiring surgery, be skeletally mature, have sus-
tained a sports-related injury, and have a minimum of 2
years of follow-up. There was no specific upper age for the
patients, and a decision was made with the senior surgeon
(R.F.L.) based on the patient’s age, activity levels, and any
associated medical comorbidities. Exclusion criteria included
previous knee injury or surgery, concomitant ipsilateral
knee intra-articular fracture, skeletal immaturity, and
non–sports related mechanism of injury.

Demographics, Injury Characteristics, and Patient Evaluation

Patient information included sex, age, and specific ligament
injury pattern. Patients were defined to have an acute
injury if they underwent multiligament reconstruction sur-
gery within 6 weeks of their injury. The mechanism of each patient’s injury was classified by sport type (Table 1).

Patient Subjective Outcomes

Patients completed a subjective outcome questionnaire
preoperatively and at a minimum of 2 years postopera-
tively, which included the Lysholm score, Tegner activity
scale, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteo-
arthritis Index (WOMAC), 12-Item Short Form Health
Survey (SF-12) Physical Component Summary (PCS),
and patient satisfaction with outcome (rated on a 10-point
scale: 1 = highly unsatisfied, 10 = highly satisfied). All
patients had clinical follow-up with stress radiographs at
least 12 months after surgery (mean: 2.0 years, range: 12
months–8 years).

Surgical Management

Anatomic-based reconstructions were performed to recon-
struct the torn ligaments as previously described. Complete
ligament tears of the main static knee stabilizers were
reconstructed, while capsular avulsions or hamstring
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tendon avulsions were repaired.\textsuperscript{10} ACL tears were preferably reconstructed with a bone–patellar tendon–bone autograft\textsuperscript{2} or allograft according to age (\textsuperscript{55} years) or if the patellar tendon had intrasubstance tear changes on the magnetic resonance imaging scan. All PCL tears had an anatomic double-bundle PCL reconstruction with an Achilles tendon allograft for the anterolateral bundle and a tibialis anterior allograft\textsuperscript{3} for the posteromedial bundle. Tears of the MCL were reconstructed with a hamstring tendon autograft.\textsuperscript{34} FCL tears were reconstructed with a semitendinosus autograft or allograft, while a complete anatomic PLC reconstruction was performed with a split Achilles tendon autograft.\textsuperscript{34} Tears of the MCL were reconstructed with a hamstring tendon autograft.\textsuperscript{34} FCL tears were reconstructed with a semitendinosus autograft or allograft, while a complete anatomic PLC reconstruction was performed with a split Achilles tendon autograft.\textsuperscript{34} The sequence of graft fixation depended on the involved ligament reconstructions. When the PCL was reconstructed, the anterolateral bundle was fixed first at 90° to restore the normal tibiofemoral step-off, followed by the posteromedial bundle with the knee in extension and neutral rotation.\textsuperscript{17} In patients with an FCL or complete PLC reconstruction, the FCL or PLC reconstruction grafts were fixed after the PCL but before the ACL and the posteromedial corner structures.\textsuperscript{48} The FCL was fixed at 20° of knee flexion and with a slight valgus force and in neutral rotation, followed by the popliteal tendon and popliteofibular ligament at 60° of knee flexion and neutral rotation. The ACL was fixed in full extension, and the posteromedial corner was always fixed last, with the MCL fixed at 20° and the posterior oblique ligament repaired or reconstructed at 0° and in neutral rotation (Figure 2).

### Rehabilitation

After surgery, all patients had the same acute rehabilitation goals of protecting the surgical reconstructions and restoring normal joint range of motion (ROM). Regardless of the combination of reconstructed ligaments, all patients were allowed knee motion of 0° to 90° on postoperative day 1 and were nonweightbearing for 6 weeks, followed by a 2-week period in which patients weaned off crutches before achieving full weightbearing at approximately 8 weeks. ACL-based reconstructions were braced in a knee immobilizer for 6 weeks and then transitioned to a hinged knee brace for daily activities. PCL-based reconstructions were

### Table 1

Descriptive Demographics of the Cohort With Sports-Related, Low-Velocity Multiligament Injuries and Minimum 2-Year Follow-up\textsuperscript{a}

| Injured Ligament Structures | Patients, n | Mean Age at Surgery, y (Range) | Female: Male, n | Mean BMI (Range) | Acute: Chronic, n | Median Time to Surgery, d (Range) | Sports Type, n | Chondral Defect, No: Yes, n | Chondral Lesion, No: Yes, n | Meniscal Lesion, No: Yes, n | Mean Follow-up, y (Range) |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| ACL/MCL                    | 50          | 37 (16-65)                    | 24: 26         | 23.7 (18.8-34.0) | 41: 9           | 15 (1-130)                      | 8: 39: 3   | 30: 20                      | 17: 33                      | 3.8 (2-7)                   | 3.5 (2-8)                 ||
| ACL/PCL                    | 76          | 32 (13-69)                    | 40: 36         | 24.6 (19.4-34.2) | 58: 18          | 13 (1-380)                      | 23: 39: 14 | 54: 22                      | 37: 39                      | 3.2 (2-8)                   | 3.5 (2-8)                 ||
| ACL/MCL/PCL                | 14          | 37 (19-52)                    | 4: 10          | 26.2 (20.9-31.6) | 11: 3           | 6 (1-243)                       | 1: 11: 2   | 9: 5                        | 1: 13                       | 3.2 (2-5)                   | 3.5 (2-8)                 ||
| PCL/MCL                    | 13          | 41 (18-66)                    | 2: 11          | 25.6 (21.1-33.9) | 9: 4            | 24 (2-352)                      | 2: 6: 5    | 16: 3                       | 11: 2                       | 3.9 (2-5)                   | 3.5 (2-8)                 ||
| PCL/PCL                    | 9           | 24 (18-234)                   | 2: 7           | 22.4 (19.2-26.1) | 5: 4            | 21 (11-522)                     | 2: 3: 4    | 6: 1                        | 6: 3                        | 3.3 (2-7)                   | 3.5 (2-8)                 ||
| ACL/PCL/PCL                | 6           | 31 (16-52)                    | 2: 4           | 23.2 (20.6-27.3) | 5: 1            | 26 (7-272)                      | 1: 2: 3    | 3: 3                        | 4: 2                        | 2.7 (2-3)                   | 3.5 (2-8)                 ||
| ACL/PCL/MCL                | 16          | 36 (16-646)                   | 6: 10          | 24.7 (20.4-31.0) | 16: 0           | 12 (1-42)                       | 2: 12: 2   | 15: 1                       | 7: 9                        | 3.8 (2-7)                   | 3.5 (2-8)                 ||
| ACL/PCL/MCL/PCL            | 5           | 32 (21-55)                    | 1: 4           | 25.8 (23.7-29.2) | 4: 1            | 23 (2-2178)                     | 0: 3: 2    | 4: 1                        | 6: 5                        | 2.8 (2-4)                   | 3.5 (2-8)                 ||
| MCL/PCL/FCL                | 1           | 43                            | 0: 1           | NA              | 1: 0            | 2                              | 1: 0: 0    | 0: 1                        | 1: 0                        | 5                          | 3.5 (2-8)                 ||
| Other\textsuperscript{c}   | 4           | 30 (18-51)                    | 2: 2           | 21.7 (18.6-23.0) | 3: 1            | 28 (5-353)                      | 1: 3: 0    | 2: 2                        | 3: 1                        | 5.4 (2-7)                   | 3.5 (2-8)                 ||
| Entire study cohort        | 194         | 34.5 (13.6-69.6)              | 83: 111        | 24.4 (18.6-34.2) | 153: 41         | 15 (1-522)                      | 40: 119: 35 | 135: 59                     | 87: 107                     | 3.5 (2-8)                   | 3.5 (2-8)                 |\textsuperscript{a}\textsuperscript{ACL, anterior cruciate ligament; BMI, body mass index; FCL, fibular collateral ligament; MCL, medial collateral ligament; NA, not applicable; PCL, posterior cruciate ligament; PLC, posterolateral corner; PLT, popliteus tendon.\textsuperscript{b}\textsuperscript{Sports injuries classified as “other” included water skiing, trampoline, and skating.\textsuperscript{c}\textsuperscript{Other cases: 1 PCL/PLC, 1 ACL/PLT, 2 PCL/PLT.}

Figure 1. Preoperative MRI series of multiligament injury of the left knee in a single patient. T2-weighted sagittal MRI demonstrates (A) an ACL tear and (B) a PCL tear. (C) T2-weighted coronal MRI demonstrates a superficial MCL tear. (D) T2-coronal MRI demonstrates a proximal FCL tear. ACL, anterior cruciate ligament; FCL, fibular collateral ligament; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PCL, posterior cruciate ligament; sMCL, superficial medial collateral ligament.
initially placed in an immobilizer and then progressed to a dynamic PCL brace as soon as the swelling allowed for the brace to be worn. The dynamic PCL brace was worn for 6 months postoperatively.

Once patients had weaned off crutches and were able to tolerate 20 minutes of walking, a weightbearing strength program was initiated. This program was customized to each patient’s needs but included common periodized phases of muscular endurance, strength, and power development. Each phase was afforded at least 6 weeks to allow for physiological adaptation to the exercise stimulus. Rehabilitation progress was assessed throughout the recovery, with clearance to return to activities provided once patients had achieved a quadriceps index >90% and a passing grade on a functional sports test.

**Statistical Analysis**

All subgroup comparisons of subjective outcome scores utilized the Mann-Whitney U test or Kruskal-Wallis analysis of variance with post hoc pairwise Nemenyi tests. Comparisons between pre- and postoperative time points used the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Fisher exact test was used to assess associations between binary variables. Unless otherwise noted, data were reported as median (first and third quartiles) and mean ± SD. The statistical programming language R (v 3.5.0) was used for all analyses.

**RESULTS**

**Cohort Demographics and Patient-Reported Outcomes**

Of the 276 patients identified, 194 (70.5%) had a minimum 2-year follow-up. Males were significantly more likely than females to be lost to follow-up ($\chi^2[1] = 5.3, P = .022$), but no other patient demographics, injury patterns, or baseline patient-reported outcomes were significantly different between the follow-up and lost-to-follow-up groups.

<p>| TABLE 2 | Pre- and PostoperativeOutcome Scores for the Entire Sports-Related Multiligament ReconstructionCohort (N = 194) at a Mean 3.5 Years Postoperatively$^a$ |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preoperative Score</th>
<th>Postoperative Score</th>
<th>$P$ Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tegner Activity Scale</td>
<td>1 [0, 2]</td>
<td>6 [4, 7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysholm</td>
<td>41 [22, 57]</td>
<td>90 [78, 95]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOMAC total</td>
<td>44 [24, 60]</td>
<td>3 [1, 8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF-12 PCS</td>
<td>33 [28, 42]</td>
<td>56 [49, 58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient satisfaction</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>9 [7, 10]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Values are presented as median [first and third quartiles]. NA, not applicable; SF-12 PCS, 12-Item Short Form Health Survey Physical Component Summary; WOMAC, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index.
Among the 194 patients in the follow-up group, there were 111 males and 83 females. The mean age at surgery was 34.5 ± 13.6 years, and the mean follow-up time was 3.5 ± 1.3 years. Concomitant chondral defect and meniscal lesion were found in 59 (30.4%) and 107 (55.2%) knees, respectively. There was no correlation between the presence of a meniscal tear and the presence of a chondral defect. Peroneal nerve injury was found in 4 (3.1%) patients; no patients sustained a vascular injury. Table 1 presents detailed patient demographics and the injury pattern distribution.

At a mean follow-up of 3.5 years, there was a significant improvement in all outcome scores as compared with the preoperative scores (P < .001) (Table 2). Patients treated acutely (<6 weeks) had significantly lower preoperative SF-12 PCS, WOMAC, and Lysholm scores than patients treated in the chronic phase (>6 weeks) (P < .01). At final follow-up, there were no differences between patients treated in the acute and chronic phases (P > .05) (Table 3). Preoperative WOMAC (P < .001) and Lysholm (P = .005) scores were significantly different among groups, with the combined ACL + PCL-based group exhibiting worse scores. There was no significant difference among the 3 groups (ACL-, PCL-, and ACL + PCL-based cohorts) for postoperative Tegner, Lysholm, WOMAC, and SF-12 PCS scores (P > .05); however, patient satisfaction was significantly different among groups (P = .041), favoring ACL-based injuries (Table 4). Overall, there were 9 (4.6%) patients with knee ligament failures after primary reconstruction. Details of all patients with ligamentous failures are reported in the Appendix (available in the online version of this article).

### Acute vs Chronic Multiligament Reconstruction

In total, 153 patients were treated in the acute phase (<6 weeks) and 41 in the chronic phase. Patients treated acutely had significantly lower preoperative SF-12 PCS (P = .017), WOMAC (P < .001), and Lysholm (P < .001) scores when compared with patients treated in the chronic phase. At final follow-up, there was no significant difference in postoperative Tegner (P = .086), Lysholm (P = .268), WOMAC (P = .682), and SF-12 PCS (P = .077) scores and patient satisfaction (P = .414) between patients treated in the acute and chronic phases (Table 3).

### ACL- vs PCL-Based injuries

A total of 141 patients had ACL-based injuries, 26 had PCL-based injuries, and 27 had both ACL and PCL tears.
Preoperative WOMAC (P < .001) and Lysholm (P = .005) scores were significantly different among groups, with the combined ACL + PCL–based group exhibiting worse scores. There was no significant difference among the 3 groups for postoperative Tegner (P = .917), Lysholm (P = .400), WOMAC (P = .277), and SF-12 PCS (P = .236) scores; however, there was a significant difference among groups with respect to patient satisfaction (P = .041), favoring ACL-based injuries (Table 4).

## Objective Outcomes

All patients had clinical follow-up with stress radiographs at least 12 months after surgery (mean: 2.0 years, range: 12 months–8 years). The mean knee hyperextension was −3.4° ± 3.7° preoperatively and −1.3° ± 2.0° postoperatively. The mean knee flexion was 133.8° ± 7.2° preoperatively and 134.1° ± 5.0° postoperatively. For ACL-based injuries, frequencies of Lachman and pivot-shift testing grades for pre- and postoperative conditions are reported in Table 5. There were significant improvements in stress radiographs from pre- to postoperative states for all patients with MCL, PCL, and FCL/PLC-based injuries (Table 6). Postoperative stress radiographs of an included patient are presented in Figure 3.

### Complications and Failures

There were 28 (14.4%) complications reported in 28 patients. The most common complication was arthrofibrosis (n = 18, 9.3%), in which all patients underwent reintervention surgery to improve knee ROM at a mean 4.9 months after the index procedure. All 18 patients who developed arthrofibrosis underwent surgery in the acute phase (odds ratio, 0; 95% CI, 0-0.79; P = .015). Sex (P = .319) and MCL reconstruction (P = .806) were not significantly associated with arthrofibrosis. Details of each complication are reported in Table 7.

There were 9 (4.6%) patients with knee ligament failures after primary reconstruction. All patients reported reinjuries and subsequent knee instability at a mean 26.2 months after the index surgery. Details of all patients with ligament reconstruction failures are reported in the Appendix (available online).

### DISCUSSION

The most important finding of this study was that significantly improved postoperative outcomes with low complication rates were achieved after single-stage reconstruction of all torn knee ligaments in sports-related multiple-ligament knee injuries with immediate postoperative rehabilitation. Moreover, ACL- and PCL-based multiligament reconstructions had comparable results in the context of these multiligament injuries. The findings of the present study indicate that with biomechanically validated, anatomic-based ligament reconstructions, performed in a single stage allowing for immediate ROM, significantly improved postoperative outcomes regardless of the ligament injury pattern were obtained.

The present study further reinforces the findings suggested by previous systematic reviews. In a summary of 11 studies with 320 patients, patients with earlier surgery (<4 weeks) and early knee motion had significantly improved Lysholm scores compared with patients with delayed surgery. In addition, a meta-analysis by Hohmann et al, which included 8 studies and 260 patients, reported that patients with early surgery (<3 weeks) had significantly improved Lysholm scores compared with those with delayed surgery. While these studies were more heterogeneous than the present study in the types of cases analyzed, we found that patients in this sports-related injury cohort with early surgery had significantly improved function. Using stress radiographs, we also objectively validated that the early knee motion program did not result in the reconstruction grafts stretching out.

---

**TABLE 5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Grade, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lachman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative</td>
<td>141 (84.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pivot Shift</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative</td>
<td>159 (94.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 6**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stress Radiograph</th>
<th>Preoperative Value</th>
<th>Postoperative Value</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valgus (MCL), n = 98</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>2.0 to 8.0</td>
<td>−1.3 to 2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>3.2 to 3.5</td>
<td>0.1 to 0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior kneeling (PCL), n = 53</td>
<td>12.5 ± 2.9</td>
<td>1.2 ± 1.3</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>8.0 to 21.0</td>
<td>−2.0 to 5.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>11.6 to 13.2</td>
<td>0.8 to 1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varus (FCL/PLC), n = 114</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>−0.1 ± 0.8</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>2.0 to 8.0</td>
<td>−3.0 to 2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>2.7 to 3.1</td>
<td>−0.2 to 0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ACL, anterior cruciate ligament.*
In the present study, there was no significant difference in the postoperative functional and objective outcomes scores between the ACL- and PCL-based multiligament injuries. We believe that this is due to the use of a double-bundle PCL reconstruction technique and modern rehabilitation principles. Historically, outcomes after surgical treatment of PCL tears were believed to be less than optimal, likely secondary to the lack of anatomic and biomechanical restitution, the lower incidence of PCL surgery (and therefore less operative experience for most surgeons), and the more demanding nature of PCL reconstructions.13 Furthermore, studies have reported that some patients treated with single-bundle PCL reconstructions had persistent instability and developed osteoarthritis at long-term follow-up.18,42 However, recent biomechanical studies demonstrated that an anatomic double-bundle PCL reconstruction better restores knee kinematics than single-bundle techniques.17,49 and a recent systematic review supported these biomechanical findings based on clinical findings.1

Outcomes for patients with lateral-sided knee injuries also improved significantly in this cohort. Historically, the PLC was regarded as the “dark side” of the knee because of the poor outcomes reported after injuries.23 A better understanding of the PLC anatomy and biomechanics has led to anatomic-based reconstructions, which yield improved outcomes.10,26 Geeslin and LaPrade10 indicated improved outcomes after an anatomic reconstruction of isolated and combined PLC injuries in 29 patients with a mean follow-up of 2.4 years. It was recently reported that repair of collateral ligaments was associated with poorer outcomes and high reoperation rates.19,31,45 In the present study cohort, all collateral ligaments were treated with biomechanically validated reconstructions.26,35,50 A recent systematic review on acute PLC injuries documented that reconstruction of PLC tears resulted in better outcomes than did repairs.11,39 In addition, King et al19 noted that patients who underwent repair of the MCL in multiligament injuries were less likely to achieve good results. The present study validates that an immediate postoperative ROM program with anatomic-based ligament reconstructions was safe and resulted in low rates of arthrofibrosis (<10%). Furthermore, there was no significant difference in the rate of arthrofibrosis between medial- and lateral-based multiligament injuries.

All ligament reconstructions in this patient cohort were performed with a single-stage surgical procedure, followed by knee ROM and rehabilitation initiated on postoperative day 1. Some authors have advocated for a staged ligament reconstruction because of the extended same-day surgery time associated with multiligament reconstructions and an increased risk of arthrofibrosis owing to a delay in the rehabilitation process.9,16,38 In a systematic review of 24 studies, Mook et al38 reported no significant difference in the number of patients requiring manipulation under anesthesia or operative arthrolysis when comparing acute treatment and staged treatment of multiligament knee injuries. Failure to reconstruct some ligaments during

**TABLE 7**

| Patients With Reported Complications After Multiligament Knee Surgery (n = 28) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| n (%)                           | Details          | Additional Surgery |
| Arthrofibrosis                  | Knee extension deficits, n = 5; knee flexion deficits, n = 13 | Arthroscopy, lysis of adhesions, manipulation under anesthesia |
| DVT                             | Resolved with designated treatment | NA |
| Hardware migration              | Intra-articular migration of proximal MCL anchor | Deep hardware removal |
| Painful hardware                | Tibial screw fixation of PCLR | Deep hardware removal |
| Infection                       | Superficial MRSA infection 3 wk postoperative | Irrigation and debridement |
| Pneumonia                       | Resolved with designated treatment | NA |

*Percentages are based on total sample size (N = 194). DVT, deep venous thrombosis; MCL, medial collateral ligament; MRSA, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; NA, not applicable; PCLR, posterior cruciate ligament reconstruction.*
a single-stage procedure can result in altered knee kinematics, leading to nonphysiologic loading of the knee and reconstruction grafts\textsuperscript{28,29} and potentially to graft failure. Furthermore, joint reduction can be more challenging in a staged procedure, which can lead to abnormal force transmission through the joint\textsuperscript{28,29} and early degenerative changes. Early mobility was not associated with recurrent joint instability but rather with less joint instability in all directions in patients who were allowed early postoperative mobility in the acute treatment group. Mook et al also noted that early rehabilitation was associated with a greater percentage of patients returning to work.

Some limitations are acknowledged for this study. First, nearly 30\% of the eligible cohort was lost to follow-up. However, this subset did not significantly differ on baseline demographics (except sex), injury pattern, or baseline patient-reported outcome scales. Thus, it was not expected that these results were biased owing to the lost follow-up group. The injury patterns were diverse, which reflects the nature and complexity of these low-velocity sports-related injuries. The fact that an experienced surgeon in a tertiary referral center performed all surgical procedures might not make these results generalizable. However, this was a large series of patients from a single surgeon's experience, which eliminated several variables, such as differing surgical techniques and rehabilitation protocols from multicenter studies. Furthermore, the utilization of biomechanically and clinically validated reconstruction techniques enhances the external validity of this study.

The findings of this study support the early and single-stage anatomic-based surgical treatment of multiple-ligament sports-related knee injuries. In particular, early knee motion had a low risk of arthrofibrosis, and the early postoperative knee motion program did not result in the surgical reconstructions stretching out. In addition, multiple-ligament knee injuries with double-bundle PCL reconstructions had similar outcomes to those with ACL reconstructions. We recommend that this treatment protocol be utilized in patients with multiple-ligament sports injuries.

**CONCLUSION**

These results demonstrated that single-stage anatomic-based knee ligament reconstructions with immediate postoperative rehabilitation in the setting of sports-related multiligament injuries yielded comparable and significantly improved outcomes irrespective of the ligament injury pattern. In addition, there was no difference in outcomes between ACL- and PCL-based injuries in the setting of sports-related multiligament injuries.
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